UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Hon. André M. Espinosa, U.S.M.J. :

> Mag. No. 23-11206 v. :

DARNELL STANBERRY ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE

- 1. This matter came before the Court on the joint application of Vikas Khanna, Acting United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (John M. Maloy, Assistant U.S. Attorney, appearing), and Defendant DARNELL STANBERRY (Maximillian Novel, Esq., appearing), for an order granting a continuance under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) through April 2, 2025.
- 2. This Court granted six § 3161(h)(7)(A) continuances previously in this case.
- 3. Counsel for the parties represented that this continuance is necessary for effective preparation and to permit the parties to attempt to resolve this case prior to indictment and thereby avoid a trial.
- 4. Counsel for the United States also represented that this continuance is necessary to prevent any more non-excludable days under § 3161(h) from expiring.
- 5. The Defendant knows that he has the right under § 3161(b) to have this matter submitted to a grand jury within thirty days after his arrest.
 - 6. The Defendant, through counsel, has consented to this continuance.
- FOR GOOD CAUSE, THIS COURT FINDS that this case should be 7. continued for the following reasons:

- Plea negotiations are currently in progress, and both the United a. States and the defendant desire additional time to negotiate a plea agreement, which would render grand jury proceedings and a trial in this matter unnecessary, and to allow consideration by the court of a proposed plea agreement entered into by Defendant and the United States;
- b. Thus, the ends of justice served by granting the continuance and preventing any further non-excludable days from passing under § 3161(h) outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS, therefore:

ORDERED that this action is continued for a period of 60 days from February 1, 2025 through April 2, 2025; and it is further

ORDERED that those days are excluded in computing time under the Speedy Trial Act of 1974; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order or the application prompting it is a finding or representation that less than 31 non-excludable days under \S 3161(h) have expired.

> HON. ANDRÉ M. ESPINOSA United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: January 28, 2025

Form and entry consented to:

Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ Maximillian Novel/JMM

Maximillian Novel, Esq. Counsel for Defendant